IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

MISC.CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 654 OF 2007
IN
FIRST APPEAL NO. 163 OF 2001

KUM. VIDULA VYAS GHAISAS ... Applicant
Versus
VINAYAK PANDURANG GADGIL AND 9 ORS.., ... Respondents

Ms. G.Kale, Advocate for the applicant.
Mr. A.V. Nigalye, Advocate for the respondent No.1.
Ms. Sapna Mordekar, Advocate for the respondent No.3.

Coram:- R. S. MOHITE &
N. A. BRITTO, JJ.

Date:- 11th September, 2007

P.C.

Heard the learned Counsel on behalf of parties. On behalf of appellants, Ms. G. Kale states that appellant No.4 has expired and this is an application for bringing the legal representatives of appellant No.4 on record. Hence, for cause shown in the application, application is allowed. The learned Counsel undertakes to file vakalatnama on their behalf. Application disposed off.

R. S. MOHITE, J.

N. A. BRITTO, J.

SMA